A Note on the Use of the Word Hinayana in the Teaching of Buddhism

ARVIND SHARMA

I

To the objective and sensitive teacher of Buddhism, the use of the word Hinayana poses a problem because of its pejorative connotation, as it is "a contemptuous term almost amounting to abuse." To be sure, when the word is used in the specific context of the situation which describes what the Mahayanists thought of those Buddhists who were not Mahayanists its use is certainly justified in that specific situation, as a Mahayanist (as distinguished from an objective) statement of the situation. Then the word is used with the recognition that Hinayana is the "name given by the followers of Mahayana (Greater Vehicle) Buddhist tradition in ancient India to the more orthodox, conservative schools. The name reflected the Mahayanists' evaluation of their own tradition as a superior method, surpassing the others in universality and compassion. . . . "2"

But sometimes the word has been used to label the non-Mahayana Buddhism generally, without the scholarly scrupulousness of regarding it as a Mahayanist designation of non-Mahayana schools.³ When this is done, several objections

¹ Kenneth W. Morgan, ed., The Path of the Buddha (New York: The Ronald Press Company, 1956), p. 40.

² The New Encyclopædia Britannica, Micropaedia Vol. v (Chicago: William Benton, 1974), p. 49. Even here there is need for caution. For instance if the situation is described thus: "The first sign of this development and adaptation appears in the schism between what has been called Hinayana and Mahayana (or the small vehicle and the large vehicle). The first school looked upon the salvation of the individual as a goal, whereas the other school took the salvation of all beings as its aim. The first is thus a lower (bina) aim than the second" (R. C. Majumdar, ed., The Age of Imperial Unity [Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 1968], p. 373)—it is not clear from the statement whether this is being made as a Mahayanist statement or as a general statement of "objective" fact.

³ See Nalinaksha Dutt, Aspects of Mahayana Buddhism in its Relation to Hinayana (London: Luzac Co., 1930), passim; Vishwanath Prasad Varna, Early Buddhism and Its Origins (New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal, 1973), pp. 275, 430.

THE EASTERN BUDDHIST

arise, apart from the pejorative nature of the appellation. For one thing, although the Mahayanists called the non-Mahayanists Hinayanists "the name was not accepted by the conservative schools as referring to a common tradition," Moreover, "there arose too, a third method or 'vehicle' of salvation, called 'The Middle Method' (Madhyama Yana). This, however, is not so well-known, and, being a compromise between the other two, never gained many adherents, though it is still recognised in Tibet—the Tibetans often speaking of Tri-Yana, or 'the three vehicles.'" Lumping all non-Mahayana schools together obscures this fact.

Largely because of the pejorative connotation of the term Hīnayāna, however, sensitive scholars have turned to using the word Theravada, and the view that though sometimes "we use the term Hīnayāna or the Lesser Vehicle to designate these teachings, but a more appropriate term would be Theravada, or Doctrine of the Elders" is now widely accepted.

П

This changeover from the term Hinayana to Theravada is, of course, commendable and desirable inasmuch as traditions should be represented on their own terms and not how others label them—evaluatively and not descriptively.

However, once the term Hinayana is dropped, a problem in Buddhist studies arises. The problem is that once the name Hinayana is dropped "there is no other current term that designated the whole set of sects that arose between the first and the fourth centuries after the Parinirvana." For if we use the word

⁴ The New Encyclopaedia Britannica, Micropaedia Vol. v, p. 49.

⁵ Monier-Williams, Buddbism (London: John Murray, 1890), p. 159.

⁶ Kenneth K.S. Ch'en, Buddbism: The Light of Asia (New York: Woodbury, 1968), p. 30.

⁷ The move in this direction was suggested at least as early as 1928: "The world of Buddhism is, broadly speaking, divided into two great schools of thought, known usually to European scholars as the Northern and Southern Schools. Members of the former have called the latter 'Hinayana,' or 'smaller vehicle,' reserving for themselves the name of 'Mahayana,' or 'greater vehicle.' The Southern School, however, prefers to be known as the Thera Vada, or 'Teaching of the Elders' (for reasons which will appear later)." What is Buddhism? (London: The Buddhist Society, 1947 [first published 1928]), p. 156.

⁸ It is interesting to note that in R. C. Zachner, ed., A Concise Encyclopaedia of Living Faiths (London: Hutchinson, 1959), the chapter on Theravada Buddhism avoids the word Hinayana while the chapter on Mahayana Buddhism employs it.

⁹ Richard H. Robinson, *The Buddhist Religion* (Belmont, California: Dickenson Publishing Co. Ltd., 1970), p. 49 fn. 1.

VIEWS AND REVIEWS

Theravada as a general term to describe the whole set of sects we would be overlooking the fact that "the modern upholders of the ancient tradition are Theravadins (followers of the Way of the Elders), who are but one of the 18 ancient schools." So that whereas one can draw up a chart to depict the various schools as follows:

Hinayana

Theravada

Sarvastivadins, etc.

one cannot do so with the Theravada on tops:

Theravada

Vaibhāsikas

Sautrantikas, etc.

Or perhaps one can: but then this would imply that all the other schools of Buddhism splintered from Theravada.¹¹ This may be true. But many of these schools trace their origins right back to the inner circle of Buddha's disciples¹² and to that extent the above-mentioned portrayal at least does injustice to those claims.¹³ There are problems then with both the words—Theravada and Hīnayāna.

ш

It is clear, therefore, that on the one hand the term Hinayana is undesirable as it is a pejorative; on the other hand it is useful academically as referring to the pre-Mahayana schools collectively. Indeed the key issue is: how does one refer to these schools collectively?

One may now focus on this question sharply, and discuss some of the suggestions which have been made explicitly or tacitly to get around this difficulty.

(1) One obvious way is to use the term pre-Mahayana, for "in one sense, all of the so-called 18 schools of ancient Buddhism are Hinayanist, in that they

The New Encyclopaedia Britannica, Micropaedia Vol. v, p. 49, emphasis added. This is well illustrated by the fact that as soon as A. L. Basham drops the use of the word Hina-yana, he has to speak of "the Sthaviravadins and kindred sects" (The Wonder That Was India [London. Sidgwick & Johnson, 1956], p. 264).

¹¹ See Edward J. Thomas, The History of Buddhist Thought (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1933), Appendix II.

¹² E.g., the Santantrikas "trace their school back to Ananda, a close disciple of the Buddha", The Encyclopaedia Britannica, Micropaedia Vol. 111, p. 378.

¹³ Ibid., see chart on p. 377.

THE EASTERN BUDDHIST

predate the emergence of Mahayana ideas as a separate doctrine."¹⁴ Another argument in its favour would be that the name Hinayana "was not accepted by the conservative schools as referring to a common tradition."¹⁵ Besides, since historians of religion made the distinction between Mahayana and other schools on historical rather than purely doctrinal grounds as the Mahayanists did (though they might have used a historical framework)¹⁶ the use of the term in the context of modern academics would be justified.

- (2) Another approach could be to continue using the word Hinayana (with single quotation marks perhaps to indicate that it is used in a Pickwickian sense) and to hope, with Richard Robinson, that "continued usage will doubtlessly expunge all derogatory connotations of the term. 'Quaker,' 'Mormon,' and even 'Christian' started out similarly as labels sarcastically attached by outsiders." 17
- (3) A third possibility emerges from the remarks made by Edward J. Thomas. He points out "all the older schools... are usually grouped together and referred to as Hinayana. This is a term which has become popularized as the translation of a phrase used by the Chinese pilgrims, who seem to have known it as a convenient name for all schools which were not Mahayana. But this is not the way the term is used in Sanskrit texts. The texts when referring to the definite schools always speak of frāvaka-yāna and pratyeka buddbayāna, but binayāna which very rarely occurs, is used generally for 'low or base career.' "18 If such be the case then the words frāvaka-yāna or pratyeka buddbayāna may be used as generic terms to cover the eighteen schools.

¹⁴ The New Encyclopaedia Britannica, Micropaedia Vol. v, p. 49.

¹⁵ Thid

¹⁶ See Wm. Theodore de Bary, ed., The Buddhist Tradition in India, China, and Japan (New York: The Modern Library, 1969), p. 185.

¹⁷ Richard H. Robinson, op. ctt., p. 49, fn. 1.

¹⁸ E. J. Thomas, op. cit., p. 177. He refers to the material on the point collected by S. C. Vidyabhusana, JRAS, 1900, 29 (ibid., fn. 1) and goes on to say: "'Career' is yāna. This was first pointed out by Dr. Dasgupta. There is no reason to translate it 'vehicle', merely because Burnouf did so a century ago. The three careers happen to be mentioned in a simile of three chariots in Chapter 3 of the Lotus Sutra but as vehicles they are not called yāna but ratha or chariots" (ibid., p. 178; also see p. 170). The word yāna has been so often rendered as vehicle in Buddhist studies by now that it might well-nigh be impossible to revert to what E. J. Thomas suggests may be its original sense.

VIEWS AND REVIEWS

IV

To conclude: in the context of the discussion of Buddhist sects in the pre-Mahayana period the use of the words Hinayana and Theravada both pose a problem—one on account of its pejorativeness, the other on account of its onesidedness. The options are to continue to use the word Hinayana in a non-pejorative sense or to use new words—either a linguistic hybrid such as pre-Mahayana or resurrect earlier Pali or Sanskrit terms like Irāvaka-yāna, etc., to do duty for the same. The solution which ultimately emerges will of course depend on the consensus that emerges by the spontaneous independent decisions teachers and scholars in the field take over the course of time. ¹⁹ The purpose of this paper was to highlight some of the elements that might be involved in these decisions.

¹⁹ It is interesting to note that Hinduism faced a similar situation. The term Hinduism in one given by outsiders to the collectivity of sects which comprise it, just as Hinayana was a term given by outsiders to the collectivity of sects which comprise it. (See Wilfred Cantwell Smith, The Meaning and End of Religion [New York: The Macmillan Co., 1963], p. 64 ff, 256, 258, 259). It may also be that, like Hinayana, Hinduism may have been a pejorative term, the Hindus being equated with idolators whom the Muslims detested. It is one of the interesting asides of history that name-calling sometimes leads to 'christening'!