TRANSLATION

The Ching-t'u Shih-i-lun

TRANSLATED BY LEO PRUDEN

Introduction

First mentioned and quoted in 774 in a work by the monk Fei-hsi,⁴ the *Sbib-i-lun* has long been accepted in both China and Japan as the authentic work of Chih-i. Although there has been only one Chinese commentary on this work,⁵ in China the *Sbib-i-lun* was quoted by many of the leading Buddhist writers and thinkers of their day—Liu Tsung-yuan⁶ (9th century), Yung-

¹ T. 37 no 1750. A work which if not authentic was composed after 645; See Sato Tetsuei, Tendai-daishi no kenkyu (hereafter referred to as Sato), p 601.

² T. 37 no 1755; an early forgery, according to Sato, p 617, dating however from before the eighth century.

³ T. 47 no 1962; the work exists in two editions—a basic and an expanded text—the latter placed between 713 and 839; see Sato p 658.

⁴ T. 47 p 141 a 20.

⁵ Ch'eng-yü's **R** Chu Shib-i-lun **R** + **R** in written by 983; cf. Zoku-zökyö 2. 12. 4 (vol 107) p 357-365, and the Jödo-shu zensbo vol 6; see Bussho kaisetsu daijiten (BKD) VIII. 48.

⁶ T. 47 p 182c–183a.

CHING-T'U SHIH-I-LUN

ming⁷ (10th century), Chih-li⁸ (11th century), Yuan-chao⁹ (12th century), Wang Jih-hsiu¹⁰ (12th century), Chih-p'an¹¹ (13th century), Ta-yu¹² (14th century), Chou-K'e-fu¹³ (17th century) and Chih-hsü¹⁴ (17th century); in Japan the work is referred to by Saicho,¹⁵ Gennichi¹⁶ (9th century), Ryogen¹⁷ (10th century), Genshin¹⁸ (10th and 11th centuries), and Honen¹⁹ (12th to 13th centuries), the seventeenth century in Japan seeing a total of five commentaries²⁰ on this text!

- 8 In his Miao-tsung cb'ao ### T. 37 p 228c.
- 9 T. 37 p 281a, 291b, and 305c.
- ¹⁰ T. 47 p 283b-c, where the First Doubt is quoted and more elegantly rephrased! ¹¹ T. 49 p 258.
- ¹² Zohu-zökyö 2,13,1 (vol 108) p 85 verso 2-b; the Eighth Doubt is quoted.
- ¹³ Zohu-zöhyö 2.14.2 (vol 109) p 149 verso b.
- 14 Zoku-zökyö 2.13. 4-5 (vol 108) p 359-364.
- 15 In his Tai-shu roku, T. 55 p 1056c.
- 16 T. 55, p 1136c.
- 17 In his Kubon-ojo-gi, in Jodo-sbu zensbo, vol 15 no 1.
- ¹⁸ In his Ojo-yosbu, T. 84.
- 19 In his Kango-toroku, chap 10; T. 8].

²⁰ They are: a) the Jödö-jügiron yoku-chi K by Fubi T (1679), in the Zoku Jödoshu zensbo vol 7 (cf. BKD VI. 89-90); b) the Jugisuyaku mm by Genshin T (-1685-) (cf. BKD V. 155); c) the Jugiron-shiböshō T by Shimpā T (-1695) (cf. BKD V. 155); d.) the Jugiron-Kosui K by Jōa T printed in 1696 (c.f. BKD V. 155); and e) the Chü-Jügiron kankō T + K = 5 by Taizen T , a work printed in 1695 (cf. BKD VIII. 49).

⁷ T. 48 p 966c.

²¹ In the Dai-mppon Bukkyo zensbo, vol 21, p 207b.

表意 in his 1287 work, the *Öjöyösbü-giki²²* 往生某人就了. The debate did not resume until the 18th century when it was revived again by Hotan 風津, the Kegon scholar-monk who, in his 1738 work, the *Nembutsu õjā myödā satsu²³* 金佛拉生明耳和, repeated Shōshin's arguments and added his own conclusion that the work was written by Huai-kan 懷意, an 8th century Chinese monk whose ideas and phraseology (as seen in his *Ch'ün-i-lun* 并反的) indeed appear to have contributed much to the final form of the work.

Seventeenth century Japanese commentarial work added little to the critical study of the Sbib-i-lun's authorship, and it is only in the closing years of the 19th and the early years of the 20th centuries that the work came to be critically studied, reviving in effect the views of Shōshin and Hōtan. Although the first critical study of the Sbib-i-lun was published in 1898²⁴ and was followed thereafter in 1902²⁵ and 1910²⁶ by articles by Shimaji Daitō and Tsumaki Jikiryō, the major article at this time was published in 1901 by Mochizuki Shinkō,²⁷ who argued that the Sbib-i-lun was not the work of Chih-i. More recently the Sbib-i-lun has received treatment in the fifties²⁸ and sixties²⁹; the major work on the Sbib-i-lun has, in my opinion, been done by Satō Tetsuei, who devotes pages 619 to 643 of his opus, Tendai-daisbi no kenkyu (1961), to a study of the Sbib-i-lun.

To summarize Sato's conclusions, a) the Sbib-i-lun, in its totality, is a later work, a work not by Chih-i (such an opinion has become the established

²² Preserved in the Jodo-shi zensbo, vol 15.

²³ In the Dai-nippon Bukkyō zensbo vol 98, p 438-439. Hotan's major critic (for this argument) was Soboku 借模 (1719-1762), who, in his Jōdo-jugiron sboryoroku 升量錄, main-tained Chih-i's authorship of the text (cf. Sbinsbū zensbo vol 62; BKD V. 155).

²⁴ Ueda Shohen's 上田思達 Jodo-jugiron sbingi-ketsu 真体法 (cf. BKD VI. 89).

²⁵ See Shimaji Daito's 1 * * article "Tendai no Jugiron" in Rokujo-gakubo no 8 (February 1902).

²⁶ See Tsumaki Jikiryo's # * it & article "Tendai no Jugiron ni tsuite" in Rokujo-gakubo no 100 (February 1910).

²⁷ See Mochizuki Shinko's 27 A article "Tendai Jugiron wa gisaku tarubeshi" in Shusui-zasshi #### (1901); reprinted in his Jödokyō no kenkyū (1914) chapter 26.

²⁸ See Yamamoto Bukkotsu's 1444 article, "Anrakushū to Tendai Jūgiron no koshomen" in Sbinsbū kenkyū no 2 (September 1956).

²⁹ See Hibi Sensho's 日比宜正 work, Tödai Tendai-gaku josetsu 唐代天台華序説 (1966).

CHING-T'U SHIH-I-LUN

scholarly opinion in Japan); b) seven of the Ten Doubts are closely related to the An-lo-chi 安葉葉, either in thought or in phraseology; c) the influence of T'an-luan's # Wang-sbeng-lun-chu 往生論註 on the Shib-i-lun has been through T'an-luan's first having been quoted in the An-lo-cbi, and then incorporated into the Shib-i-lun; d) any influence from Chia-ts'ai's 27 Chingr'u-lun > ± in has also been through the intermediacy of the An-lo-cbi, the Sbib-i-lun representing, however, a doctrinal advance (in the direction of the exclusiveness and autonomy of the Pure Land tradition) over the Ching-t'u-lun; e) any influence from Shan-tao ****** is slight, there being major doctrinal differences between them relating to the area of practice (Shan-tao holds that calling on the name of O-mi-t'o (Jap: Amida) 稍名 is the correct practice 正定業, whereas the Shib-i-lun holds that the nien-fo samādbi 念佛三昧 and the keeping of the precepts is the practice of taking delight in the vow 依願行); f) chronologically the last work the influence of which can be found in the Sbib-i-lun is the Ch'un-i-lun of Huai-kan; since the last date recorded for Huaikan is 695, the Sbib-i-lun must be placed after 695; g) the first mention of the Sbib-i-lun is in Fei-hsi's Nien-fo san-mai pao-wang lun, completed in 774, so the Sbib-i-lun was produced sometime in the period 695 to 774, and according to Sato, probably in the first half of the 8th century; h) although later writers have attributed the Sbib-i-lun to Chih-i (as Saicho), the work itself nowhere quotes either the words of Chih-i, his works, or even his thought-indeed, the work has no trace of T'ien-t'ai influence whatsoever; i) since the Sbib-i-lun is heavily indebted to the An-lo-chi, as well as standing in the doctrinal tradition of T'an-luan, Shan-tao and Huai-kan and relying almost exclusively for its scriptural confirmation on the Wu-liang shou ching, the Kuan Wu-liang shou ching, the O-mi-t'o ching, and the Wang-sheng-lun (scriptures and commentaries that form the major points of reference for the exclusivist Pure Land tradition), if the author of the Sbib-i-lun had wished to attribute his work to some famous master of the past, the 'logical' choice would have been 'Tao-ch'o (the author of the An-lo-cbi) or at the least, a master known for his advocacy of exclusivist Pure Land doctrines; and j) regardless of the fact that the Sbib-i-lun displays no T'ien-t'ai influence, by the last decades of the 8th century-in the generation of the disciples of Chan-jan (711-782) the sixth patriarch of the T'ien-t'ai-the work had come to be accepted as canonical within the T'ien-t'ai, and came to be circulated about as the work of Chih-i, being ranked with Chih-i's other

THE EASTERN BUDDHIST

reputed Pure Land works (as above), from which standpoint the Sbib-i-lun came to play its role both in the development of Sung dynasty T'ien-t'ai-Pure Land thought and in the growing doctrinal sophistication of Japanese Pure Land thought of the Heian period.

II. The Contents of the Shib-i-lun. In structure the Shib-i-lun is in the form of a catechism, a question and answer style common to Chinese and Japanese Buddhist doctrinal works. The protagonist raises ten points where the exclusivist Pure Land teachings conflict with general Chinese Mahayana Buddhism.

The First Doubt questions the reasons for singling out the Western Pure Land of O-mi-t'o for special emphasis and devotion, when any of the Pure Lands in any other directions, e.g. the Eastern Pure Land, the Northern Pure Land with their respective Bodhisattvas, would have done as well. The Second Doubt questions the Pure Land teachings on doctrinal grounds: since all dharmas have emptiness (*hunyata*) as their basic nature, choosing one aspect of reality over another aspect of the same reality, this is, choosing the Western Pure Land over this samsaric world would overlook the essential unity of the two, a concept elaborated upon in the Third and Fourth Doubts.

The Fifth and the Sixth Doubts deal with man's ability or inability to achieve rebirth in the Pure Land; the Fifth Doubt asks how a common person, evidently not a monk but a layman (here termed *prtbagjana*— \mathcal{R} \pm —normally a word meaning a non-Buddhist) can be born in O-mi-t'o's Pure Land, and (the Sixth Doubt) if once born there, how he can attain the stage of non-regression—that is, how he can stay there.

The Seventh Doubt compares the Pure Land of O-mi-t'o—Sukhāvatī or the Pure Land of the West $\overline{a}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}$ —with the Pure Land of Maitreya, Tusita $\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}\overline{}$, and shows how O-mi-t'o's Pure Land is better for persons living in this world.

The Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Doubts harken back to problems raised in Doubts Five and Six: the Eighth Doubt wonders how only ten meditations, done at the moment of death, can have the effect of cancelling out all man's transgressions, transgressions accumulated from the very beginning of time. The Ninth Doubt wonders how a weak person can get to the Pure Land, which is after all so very far away, and wonders too how women, the mentally and physically defective, and those of Hinayana capacities can be born therein,

CHING-T'U SHIH-I-LUN

all this latter in the light of the Wang-sbeng-lun denying the possibility of such persons being reborn in the Pure Land.

The Tenth Doubt again raises the question of common persons being reborn in the Pure Land without their having cut off their passions. This doubt also raises a more positive question, namely what religious practice **T** one should carry out, what seeds (of good actions) one should plant and cultivate to attain rebirth in the Western Pure Land of the Buddha O-mi-t'o; this section also strongly emphasizes the concept of "the mind of Bodhi" (********** badbicitta).

From the above it can be seen that the *Sbib-i-lum* is still midway between mainstream, normative Chinese Mahayana Buddhism, and the gradually developing sophistication of the exclusivist Pure Land tradition. Assuming that the problems to which the *Sbib-i-lum* is directed were the problems facing the selfconscious Pure Land thinkers, we can see reflected in this work problems raised against the Pure Land practice as it was developing at this time, i.e., mainly the problem of its nascient exclusivism (as in Doubts One, Two, Three, Four, and Seven) and scriptural contradictions to certain of its teachings (as in Doubts Five, Six, and Eight, where Buddhism is not seen as for "the saints", and in Doubt Nine where doctrines clearly opposed to one authoritative text, the *Wang-sbeng-lum*, are defended).

Certain tendencies which became more pronounced in later Pure Land writings are seen in an early form in the Sbib-i-lun: of the various scriptures quoted to support Pure Land positions, there is a growing emphasis on three Pure Land scriptures, the Kuan Wu-liang shou fo ching, the Ta Wu-liang shou fo ching, and the O-mi-t'o ching, and the commentaries, the Wang-sheng-lun and the Wang-sheng-lun chu. In the Sixth Doubt Nagarjuna's theory of the difficult and the easy path # = # and T'an-luan's theory of self-power and other-power # # # are called upon to prove a point—references used with great frequency in later Pure Land writing. The Eighth Doubt directs its attention to combating the major doctrinal opposition to the exclusivist Pure Land teachings, the pieb-shib-i \Re # theory, a theory elaborated upon and made orthodox in the Wei-shih # tradition (the Chinese Yogacara). The Sbib-ilun also assumes the physical reality, the 'literalness' of the existence of the Western Pure Land (as in the Ninth Doubt) and its role as only an after-death, rebirth state, a literalness and a role later discarded in the increasingly sophisticated developments of Pure Land thought in both China and Japan. In the Eighth Doubt the phrase *shib num* + ∞ still appears to imply ten acts of meditation or mental concentration, rather than the maximum number of times one "calls upon the name of the Buddha O-mi-t'o," a meaning now held to be the standard meaning of this phrase in both China and Japan.

In this way, the Sbib-i-lun, a text of unknown authorship dating perhaps from the first half of the eighth century, offers us an interesting view of the intellectual ferment of medieval Chinese Buddhism, a ferment whence evolved on the one hand the exclusivist Pure Land tradition and on the other hand, the absorption of Pure Land elements into the mainstream of hitherto non-Pure Land traditions and schools of the Chinese Mahayana.

The Ching-t'u Shih-i-lun

(text)

The First Doubt.

Question: All the Buddhas and bodhisattvas have taken great compassion to be their activity, and thus they should only desire birth within the three worlds, in the five impurities and in the three paths (of painful rebirth), in order that they might save all the creatures therein from pain.

For what reason therefore do they seek rebirth in the Pure Land? This is forsaking all creatures and putting one's own self at ease; this is not great compassion; this is only for one's own benefit, and this hinders the way of Bodhi.

Answer: There are two types of bodhisattvas. Those who traverse for a long time the way of the bodhisattvas and who have attained the insight of non-arising ± 2 are those who undertake such a responsibility (as the above question implies).

But those who have not attained to such an insight and those common persons \mathcal{R} who have newly produced the mind of Bodhi should never be separated from the Buddha until the power of such an insight is perfected, and then they will be able to withstand existence in the three worlds and there they can strive to alleviate the sufferings of the creatures within these evil realms.

As the Ta Chib-tu lun³⁰ says, "... if such enmeshed common persons have

Söboku, in attempting to refute Hotan, maintains in his Sboryo-roku, p 62a, that this quotation is not a direct quote but a restatement of the Ta-Chib-tu-lun passage on p 271a.

³⁰ Hotan, in his Nembutru-ojo-myodosatru (p 439b) claims that there is no such passage in the Ta-Chib-tu-lun, but gives two references in the Ta-Chib-tu-lun, the sense of which passages could be interpreted as fitting this "quote" of the Shib-i-lun: T. 25 p 415c1-3 speaks of saving oneself before attempting to save others; T. 25, p 271229-b4 speaks of obtaining rebirth as a king through merits yet failing to encounter a Buddha.

THE EASTERN BUDDHIST

a heart of great compassion, and even should they vow to be born in this evil world to save suffering creatures, such will not take place. Why is this? It is because the defilements of the evil world are strong, and if one does not possess the strength of the insight of non-arising one will follow this (evil) realm, and turning towards sounds and forms one will be enmeshed by them, and one shall fall into the three evil realms. How can one then truly save all creatures?

"For even if one should obtain birth in the human realm, the path of the Holy Ones is hard to obtain. Some, by means of their practice of such merits as generosity and morality, may become kings and great ministers with unlimited wealth.

"But even if one should meet a spiritual guide """, one may not trust him, and so one becomes greedy and indolent and commits many sins. Due to this evil karma, when once one falls into the three evil realms, innumerable kalpas elapse. Should one emerge from hell, one may receive the form of one poverty-stricken.

"And if one does not encounter a spiritual guide, one shall fall again into hell.

"In this manner, samsara has continued up to the present time. Persons who are like this are termed (those who follow) the path of difficult practice."

Thus the Wei-mo ching ### says, "Being unable to cure one's own illness, how can you cure others?"³¹

The Ta Chib-tu lun says, "... this is like unto two persons, each of whom had a close relative drowning. One man, being excitable, jumped into the water to save him, but lacking the power or the means, they both drowned. The other man, having an expedient (method), took an oar and, by riding upon it, both attained their salvation.

"A bodhisattva whose determination to attain Bodhi has newly arisen is also like this. Not yet having the power of the insight of non-arising, he is unable to save all creatures. Because of this, he should draw near to the Buddhas, and after he has attained the insight of non-arising, he then is able to save all creatures, like one who has gotten a boat."³²

³² Hôtan claims that there is no such passage and again a passage similar in purport is given: T. 25, p 275c2-11. Soboku now gives several references to the *Ta-chib-tu-lun*, restatements of which could make up this "quote": T. 25, p 602a14 on obtaining the*

³¹ See T. 14, p 542214.

CHING-T'U SHIH-I-LUN

Further, the Ta Chib-tu lun says, ... a small child cannot leave his mother; if he leaves his mother, he will prehaps either fall into a well or he may die of thirst.³³ If a bird's wings have not yet fully developed, he stays close to the tree and goes from limb to limb, and he is unable to go far. If his wings are fully developed then he is able to fly into the sky, freely and unhindered."³⁴

"Common persons have no power and can only obtain a singleminded meditation on the Buddha O-mi-t'o and bring about the successful completion of this *samadbi*. With the completion of this practice, when the devotee approaches his death, he concentrates his mind and obtains birth (in the Pure Land) definitely and without doubt.

"After he has seen the Buddha O-mi-t'o, and attained the insight of nonarising, he returns to the three worlds. Riding on the boat of the insight of non-arising he rescues suffering humanity, and he carries out on a broad scale the Buddha's work, freely in any way he so desires."

Thus the *Ta Chib-tu lun* says, "... he who has roamed in the pathways of hell, after he is born in the Pure Land and attains the insight of non-arising, returns and enters birth-and-death, and converts those creatures who are suffering in hell."

For these reasons one seeks for birth in the Pure Land. We wish that you would remember this teaching. The Sbib-cbu p'o-sba lun +4257 a calls this the way of 'easy practice.'³⁵

The Second Doubt.

Question: All the dharmas are by nature empty \underline{x} , and essentially there is no arising $\underline{\pi} \underline{x}$ and in this the various dharmas are equally quiescent $\underline{\Psi} \underline{x} \underline{x}$.

[&]quot;"boat" of avaivartika to save others; p 275c2-II on not leaving the presence of the Buddhas until one had attained avaivartika; and p 607a5-6 where a sinking man is not able to save another sinking man.

³³ See the An-lo-cbi, T. 47, p 9a12ff. Hotan again states that there is no such passage in the Ta-Cbib-tu-lum (op. cit. p 440b); Soboku quotes however T. 25, p 530b26 and p 275c23 on children not leaving their mothers.

³⁴ Soboku quotes T. 25, p 489c9. See also the An-lo-cbi, p 9217 ff.

³⁵ See T. 26, p 41a13 ff, and T'an-luan's Wang-sbeng-lun, T. 40, p 826b7.

Now then, you would discard this world and seek birth in that Pure Land of O-mi-t'o in the West.

How does this not go against the above reasoning?

A scripture³⁶ says, "... to seek for the Pure Land, first purify your mind. If your mind is pure, then the Buddha-land is pure."

How do you reconcile this?

Answer: There are two principles involved in this explanation. First the general answer and second, the specific answer.

The general answer: if you say 'to strive after birth in O-mi-t'o's Western Pure Land is to abandon this world and strive after that world, and that this does not correspond to the principles (of Mahayana Buddhism),' then you are clinging to rebirth in this world; and if you do not strive after (rebirth in) the Western Land, this is abandoning that world and clinging to this world. This is, further, a cause of (spiritual) illness, and does not correspond to the principles (of Mahayana Buddhism).

Further, one who in delusion says that he does not strive after birth in this world nor in that world, is one who (falls into the extreme) of the view of annihilation.

As it says in the Chin-kang po-jo ching ANNESS, "O Subhuti, you might hold this thought, namely that those who generate Supreme, perfect Bodhi teach that all the dharmas are extinguished Mik: do not hold this thought. And why? Those who generate the mind of Bodhi do not teach that there is the external characteristic of extinction with reference to the dharmas."³⁷

The specific answer: when we speak of 'non-arising and non-extinction' 不生不減 we mean that within arising through external conditions all the dharmas are in harmony, and that they do not maintain their individual selfnatures 不守自性.

If we search after the substance to of this type of arising, we cannot understand it by conventional means. When such arising occurs, there is no place from whence it comes; hence it is named 'non-arising.'

When all the dharmas disperse, they do not maintain the substances (of the

³⁶ Hotan criticizes this Second Doubt and its Answer from the point of view of the orthodox object of meditation of the T'ien-t'ai (op. cit. p 440b-441a); see also Soboku (op. cit. p 65b ff).

³⁷ See T. 8, p 732a22; the Sbib-i-lun slightly abbreviates this passage.

object so constituted) and so we say that the self \mathfrak{A} of the object is extinguished. When they disperse, in going there is no place whither they go, hence they are termed 'non-extinguished.'

This is not to say that outside of arising through primary causes and external conditions there is any separate 'non-arisen non-extinguished' object.

Also, 'non-arising' # is not so called for not seeking birth 4 in the Pure Land.

The Chung lun says, "The dharmas arisen through conditions, I teach that these are none other than empty, also they are termed temporary names; this is termed the principle of the Middle Way."³⁸

Also, "All the dharmas are not self arisen, nor are they generated by external forces; they do not arise together with, nor do they arise without their primary causes: thus may 'non-arising' be known."³⁹

The Wei-mo ching says, "Although we know that all the Buddha-lands as well as all creatures are empty, still we always practice the way of the Pure Land to convert all living creatures."⁴⁰

It also says, "... in constructing a palace, if we build on empty land 222 we may build as we wish, without hinderance. If we build in the air, we shall ultimately never complete it."⁴¹

The preachings of all the Buddhas rely always on the (above) two truths. Without breaking down the concept of temporary names, yet do they preach of the true (substantial) characteristics of all the dharmas.

The wise enthusiastically seek birth in the Pure Land, and realize that the unattainability (through conventional means) of the nature of such birth (in the Pure Land) is none other than the true 'non-arising.'

This is the meaning of 'if your mind is pure, then the Buddha-land is pure.'

The ignorant are bound by (the concept of) arising \pm . When they hear (the word) 'arising' then they create an understanding of 'arising.' When they hear (the word) 'non-arising' $\pm \pm$ then they create an understanding of 'non-arising.'

³⁸ See T. 30, p 33b11.

³⁹ See T. 30, p 2b6.

⁴⁰ See T. 14, p 550a1.

⁴¹ Is this a reference to Seng-chao, T. 38 p 393b, or p 392b?

They do not know that 'arising' is none other than 'non-arising,' and that 'non-arising' is the same as 'arising.'

They do not understand this principle, and wilfully they pronounce one true and one false 微相是非, and they are angry at others' seeking birth in the Pure Land.

How deluded they are!

They are transgressors who slander the Dharma, non-Buddhists of perverted views!

10 m 10 m

The Third Doubt.

Question: The natures and the qualities of all the Pure Lands in all the ten directions are the same, and the devotee could meditate on all these qualities to be born in all the Pure Lands.

Now then, to desire exclusively the Pure Land of one Buddha is to turn one's back on the equality of their natures. Thus, how could one be reborn in the Pure Land?

Answer: All the Buddha-lands are in essence equal, but the dull and muddled masses are many (and various). If they do not bind themselves to one sphere (of meditation), their samadhis will be hard to accomplish. Their exclusive meditation on the Buddha O-mi-t'o is the 'samadhi on one characteristic' $-m \equiv m$, and by the mind's single-minded attainment (of this samadhi), birth is achieved in the Pure Land.

As the Sui-yuan wang-sbeng ching MARIELL says, "The bodhisattva P'ukuang #広喜盛 asked, 'All of the ten directions have Pure Lands; why therefore do you exclusively praise the Pure Land of the Western direction?' The Buddha answered P'u-kuang saying, 'The minds of the inhabitants of Jambudvīpa are defiled and disturbed. It is for this reason that I make them exclusively praise a single pure land of a Buddha in the west, and have their minds concentrated solely upon one object, so that they may easily obtain rebirth (in that Pure Land).'"⁴² If they were to meditate on all the Buddhas,⁴³

⁴² See T. 21, p 529c5-14.

⁴³ This paragraph appears to paraphrase the Sui-yuan wang-sbeng ching (p 530227) where it speaks of someone being reborn in all of the Pure Lands of the ten regions: $\pm \pm \pm 44$ $\pm \pi + \pi + \pm$.

their minds would become scattered, for such are spheres broad, and their samadhi being hard to achieve, they would not obtain birth (in any Pure Land).

Further, to seek after the qualities of one Buddha is the same as seeking the qualities of all the Buddhas, as they all possess the same nature of Buddhahood.

Because of this, to meditate on the Buddha O-mi-t'o is to meditate on all the Buddhas; to be born in one Pure Land is to be born in all the Pure Lands.

Therefore, the Hua-yen ching **# B** states, "The bodies of all the Buddhas are the body of any one Buddha; be it with any one mind or with any one wisdom, they are all the same in their powers, and in their states of fearlessness."⁴⁴

And further, "... just as a pure full moon is everywhere conditioned by all the waters (in which it is reflected), and its images and reflections are numberless, yet the original moon is still one; in this way, an unobstructed wisdom attains to Perfect Enlightenment, and manifests itself in response to every place. The body of the Buddha is one."⁴⁵

The wise shall obtain understanding by means of this parable,⁴⁶ that all the shadows of the moon are none other than the shadow of the one moon, the shadow of any one moon is none other than the shadows of all the moons, as the body of the moon is one; one Buddha is the same as all Buddhas, and all Buddhas are one Buddha, as the body of the Dharma is one—truly understanding this, when one earnestly meditates on one Buddha, one is meditating on none other than all the Buddhas.

The Fourth Doubt.

Question: Concerning the Pure Lands of the ten directions, if one meditates on any one land and thereby obtains rebirth in it, why therefore should one meditate exclusively on the Buddha O-mi-t'o (and on his Pure Land)? Answer: Ignorant people dare not develop a single-mindedness on their own,

⁴⁴ See T. 9, p 429b20. This section of the Sbib-i-lass is also quoted by Genshin, in his Öjö-yösbü, T. 84, p 56b15.

⁴⁵ See T. 9, p 486c13-16.

⁴⁶ Is this a paraphrase from the Ta-chib-tu-lun p 584c16? See Soboku, p 68b5.

but should rely exclusively on the Buddha's own words. Sakyamuni's lifetime of preaching the Dharma in various places was only to persuade all persons to meditate exclusively on the Buddha O-mi-t'o,⁴⁷ and to strive for birth in the world of the Western Paradise.

Because several tens of scriptures, such as the Wu-liang shou ching, the Kuan Wu-liang shou ching, and the Wang-sheng lun have so earnestly pointed out this (practice) to us, we practice only this meditation (on the Buddha O-mi-t'o).

Further, the Buddha O-mi-t'o has specifically forty-eight compassionate vows which are for the rescue of all beings.⁴⁸

Further, in the Kuan Wu-liang shou ching, the Buddha O-mi-t'o has eightyfour thousand major characteristics, and each major characteristic has eightyfour thousand minor marks, and each minor sign emits eighty-four thousand lights which shine on all the multitudes throughout the universe who meditate on this Buddha,⁴⁹ taking all (into his Pure Land), he abandons none. When a man meditates (on the Buddha) his nature and the Buddha's response are in accord with one another, and man's birth (in the Pure Land) is assured.

Further, as stated in the O-mi-t'o ching,⁵⁰ the Ta Wu-liang shou ching,⁵¹ the Ku-yin-wang t'o-la-mi ching⁵² and others, when the Buddha Sakyamuni preached this scripture, all the Buddhas of the ten directions—as many as the sands of the Ganges—unfolded their tongues, and covering the three thousand great universes, gave witness to the fact that if all creatures meditate on the Buddha O-mi-t'o, because of their taking advantage of the power of the compassion-ate ultimate vows of this Buddha, they shall be assured of their birth in the World of Great Bliss.⁵³

⁵² This text, the O-mi-t'o ku-yin-theng wang t'o-lo-ni ching MMMMTTIE REF nowhere specifically says that the Buddhas extended their tongues; the Buddhas "equal in number to all the sands of the Ganges of the ten directions" laud the Pure Land T. 12, p 352b15.

53 See Genshin, T. 84, p 46c19-21.

⁴⁷ Compare Chan-jan: 諸牧所讀 多在外陀 故以西方而為一準 T. 46, p 182c. Here too Genshin paraphrases the Sbib-i-lass in his Öjö-yösbü, T. 84, p 46b5-8.

⁴⁸ See Genshin, T. 84, p 46c17.

⁴⁹ See T. 12, p 343218 ff.

⁵⁰ See T. 12, p 348a3.

⁵¹ See T. 12, p 273222.

Thus one should know that the Buddha O-mi-t'o alone has a strong interrelationship with this world. How may we know this?

It says in the Wu-liang show ching that, "At the time of the disappearance of the Dharma, this scripture shall be especially retained for a period of one hundred years, saving all creatures and causing them to be born in that (Pure) Land."⁵⁴

Thus should one know that the Buddha O-mi-t'o alone has a unique interrelationship with the evil creatures of this world.

Although there are one or two scriptures which in a general way exhort (people to strive for rebirth) in other Pure Lands, they cannot be compared to to the Land of the Buddha O-mi-t'o, rebirth in which is diligently exhorted in many places in the scriptures and in their commentaries.

The Fifth Doubt.

Question: The evil karma of those common persons who are thus totally enmeshed (in samsara) is very great; not even a small portion of their defilements has ever been cut off. The Western Pure Land is beyond the three worlds. How can one thus so totally enmeshed obtain birth (in such a Pure Land)?

Answer: There are two modes二種樣 (for such a rebirth). First is 'self-power' 自力 and second, 'other-power' 他力.⁵⁵

'Self-power' means practicing the way in this world and yet really not obtaining a birth in the Pure Land.

The Ting-la ching⁵⁶ **B** is says, "The common man in bondage does not know of the Three Jewels; he does not know of good and evil causes and their relationship to effects. Should one initially give rise to the mind of Bodhi, faith

⁵⁴ See T. 12, p 279a12; see also the An-lo-cbi T. 47, p 18b17, the Cbun-i-lun T. 47, p 48a24 (by Huai-kan), and the Hsi-fang yao-chueb T. 47, p 109ab (also by Huai-kan). Hotan (op. cit. p 441a) holds that the author of the Cbun-i-lun and the Hsi-fang yaochueb (i.e., Huai-kan) is the same as the author of the Shib-i-lun, since all three of these works quote the same "proof" of O-mi-t'o's special relationship with this world.

⁵⁵ See the Wang-sheng-lun chu, T. 40, p 844220 ff.
⁵⁶ See T. 24, p 101729ff.

THE EASTERN BUDDHIST

will be its basis. If one should reside within the family of the Buddha (as a cleric), the precepts will serve as their basis. Should one receive the bodhisattva precepts, the practice of these precepts shall continue without interruption, body after body, for one, two, or even three kalpas until one comes to the arising of the first of the mind's stages. In such a manner does one practice innumerable practices and vows, such as the ten (stages) of faith,⁵⁷ and the ten *paramitas* continually without interruption for a full ten thousand kalpas until one reaches the sixth, the abode of right mindfulness.⁵⁸ Should one continue still further, one will reach the seventh, the abode of non-regression, which is the stage of the seed (of ultimate Buddhahood) with the stage of the seed (of ultimate Buddhahood) with the stage of the seventh.

This is with reference to 'self-power,' and yet even in the end one does not yet attain birth in the Pure Land.

'Other-power' means that if one believes that the power of the compassionate vow of the Buddha O-mi-t'o takes to himself all of the multitudes that meditate upon him, then one is enabled to generate the mind of Bodhi, practice the *samadbi* of meditation on the Buddha **CHE**, detest the body which is within the three worlds, and practice generosity, morality, asceticism, and merit.

And if within each of these various practices, (the merit is) transferred (to others), and if one vows to be born in the Pure Land of O-mi-t'o by taking advantage of the power of the Buddha's vows, one's nature and the Buddha's response will be in mutual accord, and one will obtain rebirth (in the Pure Land).

⁵⁷ Ch'eng-yü gives the ten stages of faith + 18 as 'ten minds' + , namely the minds of faith 18 , energy il &, remembrance , samadhi Z &, wisdom , non-regression recepts , protection , vows , and transfer of merit , see Zohz-zokyo 2,12,4 (vol 107) p 360 perso a; see also the Ting-lo-ching, T. 24, p 1017a14; Ch'engyü also gives the ten paramitas as generosity, morality, patience, energy, samadhi, wisdom, (skill in) upaya, vows, power, and knowledge (p 360 perso b).

⁵⁸ As given in the Tung-lo-ching (T. 24, p 1012c7-10) and Ch'eng-yü (p 360 verso b), the ten abodes \pm is are the abode of the first generation of the bodbi-mind $\frac{1}{2} \approx 4$, of holding to the bbinni $\frac{1}{2} \approx 4$, of practice $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$, of generating true wealth $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$, of possessing all upayas $\frac{1}{2} \approx \frac{1}{2}$, of right mindfulness $\frac{1}{2} \approx 4$, of non-regression $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$, of the true son $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$, of the Dharma-prince $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$, and the abode of the abhiseka $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Thus it says in the Sbib-chu pi p'o-sba lun 59 "Within this world there is the path of difficult practice and the path of easy practice."60

The 'difficult practice' refers to (practice within) the evil world of the five impurities.

Although one searches for *avaivartika* 阿幹跋致 (i.e., the stage of nonregression) through numberless Buddha-eras, it is hard to obtain. Its difficulties are without number, and they cannot be described. Roughly however, there are five:

1. The good as conceived by non-Buddhists is only relative, which puts the bodhisattva's Dharma in confusion;

2. evil doers are destructive of others' good merits;

3. the perversion of good karma defiles an undefiled life;

4. what is practiced by śravakas is self-benefiting, which interferes with the exercise of great compassion;

5. if one thinks that there is only 'self-power' and that there is no support from any 'other-power,' this is like a lame man who, although he walks several *li* a day, yet is in very great pain. Such may be termed 'self-power.'

The 'easy path'⁶¹ means believing that the words of the Buddha do teach the *samadbi* of meditation on the Buddha: if we desire for birth in the Purc Land, taking advantage of salvation by the power of the vow of the Buddha O-mi-t'o, we are without doubt assured of our rebirth.

This is like one taking a boat which is carried by the current, and which can travel a thousand *li* in a moment.⁶² Such may be termed 'other-power.'

An inferior servant following a *cakravartin* ruler traverses four empires in a day and a night; this is not by his own power, but by the power of the *cakravartin* ruler.⁶³

⁵⁹ See T. 26, p 41213, and the An-lo-chi, T. 47, p 12b6, where this passage is given.

⁶⁰ On the paths of difficult practice and of easy practice, see the *Wang-sheng-lun chu*, T. 40, p 826a26ff; and the *An-lo-chi*, p 12b2 ff, where the enumeration is the same as the *Shib-i-lun*.

⁶¹ See similar passages in the Wang-sbeng-lun cbu, p 826b7 ff, and the An-lo-cbi (with slight differences), p 12b21 ff.

⁶² On the simile of a swift ship, see the *An-lo-chi*, p 10b26.

⁶³ On the simile of the *caleravartin* rules and his servant, see the *An-lo-cbi*, p 12c2, and p 10c2; the *Wang-sheng-lun chu*, p 844a25ff. Söboku also mentions (p 70b) the *Ta-Chib-tu-lun*, p 130b1 ff.

If one says that a common person with his impurities cannot obtain birth in the Pure Land, we would agree that a common person with his impurities cannot obtain a vision of the body of the Buddha. Yet this is what is produced both by the *samadbi* of meditation on the Buddha, and by his pure roots of good (deeds).

An impure common person may, according to his allotment, obtain a vision of the grosser aspects of the Buddha, while bodhisattvas may see the minute aspects.

So is it with the Pure Land. Although it arises by the pure roots of the good (deeds), if an impure common person produces the highest mind of Bodhi and seeks to be born in the Pure Land, by his always meditating on the Buddha, he may conquer his defilements and obtain a birth in that Pure Land; and according to his allotment, he will obtain a vision of the grosser aspects (of the Pure Land), whereas a bodhisattva shall see the minute aspects.

What is there to doubt in this?

As the Hua-yen ching says, "All the Buddha-lands are equal and are beautifully adorned. But the karmas and the practices of all creatures differ, their visions of it are not the same." This is the meaning (of our answer).

The Sixth Doubt.

Question: Even though a common person who is totally enmeshed (by his evil karma) might obtain birth in that Pure Land, perverse views and the three poisons constantly arise. How can one be born into the Pure Land and obtain the stage of non-regression and transcend the three worlds?

Answer: There are five reasons by which one shall not regress from his rebirth in the Pure Land:⁶⁴

1. he will obtain the stage of non-regression because the power of the Buddha O-mi-t'o's great compassionate vow embraces him;

2. the light of the Buddha shall always shine (upon him),⁶⁵ so his mind of Bodhi shall progress, and he shall not regress;

⁶⁴ See Chia-ts'ai's Ching-t's-lun where Chia-ts'ai enumerates four conditions for nonregression (T. 47, p 86b), and five conditions that lead to regression (p 87a).

⁶⁵ For the Sbib-i-lun's stress on the saving power inherent in both the vow and in the eternal light of the Buddha's body, see below, Seventh Doubt.

3. (in the Pure Land), the (sounds of) water-fowl, the trees, and the wind all bespeak the truths of suffering and emptiness; those that hear this always have a mind that meditates upon the Buddha, the Dharma, and the Sangha, hence he shall not regress;

4. in the Pure Land, one's companions are exclusively the various bodhisattvas;⁶⁶ there are no spheres of evil influence. Externally there are no evil demons and spirits, internally there are not the three poisons, etc. The defilements are absolutely finished, hence he shall not regress;

5. if one is born therein, one's life is of very great length, being equal to that of the Buddhas and bodhisattvas; hence he shall not regress. In this evil world, time speeds on. Even if we traverse *asamkbyeya-kalpas*, the defilements do not arise. If for a long time we practice the way, how can we not obtain (the insight of) non-arising! These principles are clear, and need not be doubted.

The Seventh Doubt.

Question: The bodhisattva Maitreya occupies the place (vacated by the Buddha Sakyamuni in Tusita Heaven).⁶⁷ Persons who have practiced in a superior manner the ten good precepts⁶⁸ obtain birth in this heaven and view the bodhisattva Maitreya. In company with him they are born again in this world, and during his three sermons,⁶⁹ they naturally obtain the Holy Result (i.e., arhatship).

⁶⁶ Compare Cb'un-i-lun T. 47, p 51b. In this passage Ch'eng-yü (p 361 verso b) maintains that the pure bodhisattvas in the Pure Land do not hear the Lotus Sutra, for that preaching embraces the (Hinayana) śravakas!

⁶⁷ For comparisons between O-mi-t'o's Pure Land and Maitreya's Tusita Heaven, see Chi-tsang's *Ruan-ching shu* (T. 37, p. 236a), the *An-lo-chi* (T. 47, p 9b22 ff), and *Ching-t'u-lun* (T. 47, p 100a) and especially Huai-kan, who in his *Ch'ün-i-lun* analyses the differences between the two under twelve topics (T. 47, p 52c-54c): he concludes that they differ on eight points and are similar on fifteen points!

⁶⁸ See Ch'eng-yü (p 362 recto a) who says that there are three grades \equiv \equiv of persons who keep these ten good precepts: those who have kept them in a superior manner \pm \equiv are born in heaven \pm , those who practice in an average way \oplus \equiv are born as humans, and those who keep them poorly \top \equiv are born as *ameras*!

⁶⁹ See the Ta-Chib-tu-lun (T. 25, p 311b23) where Sakyamuni was said to have had 1,250 śravakas in his Sangha, and where Maitreya will have 9,900,000,000 to the test such followers saved during his first preaching, 9,600,000,000 saved during his second preaching, and 9,300,000 saved during his third preaching; see Ch'eng-yü (p 362 recto a).

Therefore why should one seek birth in the Western Paradise? Answer: Seeking birth in Tusita Heaven wherein one day one hears the Dharma and sees the Buddha seems very similar in appearance (to the Pure Land of O-mi-t'o). But if one compares the two in greater detail, there are great differences.

Here we shall discuss only two points.

First, even though one keeps the ten good precepts, prehaps one shall not obtain birth (in Tusita Heaven). How may we know this? The *Mi-lo shangsheng ching* says, "When one practices all the *samadhis*, and enters deeply into true *samadhi* EE,"⁷⁰ it is then for the first time that one obtains birth (inTusita Heaven); there is no principles of either *upāya* or of drawing (all creatures into the Heaven). This cannot be compared to the power of the light and the power of the basic vow of the Buddha O-mi-t'o which takes (into his Pure Land) those who meditate upon the Buddha (O-mi-t'o) and which abandons none of them.⁷¹

Further Sakyamuni preached nine types of teachings to serve as an upaya and to draw (all creatures into the Pure Land); he diligently preached, causing all to be born into the Pure Land.

If only all creatures were to meditate on the Buddha O-mi-t'o, men's natures and the Buddha's responses would be in mutual accord and they would certainly obtain birth (in the Pure Land). This is likened in the world to one who, giving love, is one who also receives love; their mutual capacities and responses are in union, and their goals are accomplished.

Second, in the palaces of Tusita Heaven⁷² are many who are in the regressive stage of the world of desire. There are not the sounds of the waterfowl, the trees, and the winds, hearing which all meditate upon the Buddha, generate the mind of Bodhi, and eliminate the defilements.

Further, there are women (in Tusita Heaven) who increase the five desires⁷³

⁷⁰ Sec T. 14, p 420a12.

⁷¹ See the Ch'im-i-lun T. 47, p 52c-54c. For the Sbib-i-lun's stress on the powers of the vows and the light emitted by the body of the Buddhas, see above, Sixth Doubt.

⁷² See the An-lo-chi, T. 47, p 9c1-3.

⁷³ According to Ch'eng-yü, the five desires 五世 are form, sound, odor, taste, and touch (p 362 perso a).

of the devas X. Also, the devas indulge in the beauty of the devis, and so cannot exert themselves. This is not like the Pure Land of O-mi-t'o where there are (sounds of) water-fowl, trees, and winds, the multitudes hearing which meditate on the Buddha, generate the mind of Bodhi, and eliminate the defilements.

Also there are no women nor persons of the two yanas (in O-mi-t'o's Pure Land); there are only the unsullied companions of the pure, unique Mahayana.

Because of this the defilements and evil karma are finished and do not arise, and so one finally reaches the stage of non-arising.

If compared in this manner, the merits and demerits (between the Pure Land of O-mi-t'o and the Tusita Heaven of Maitreya) are obvious. How can there be any doubt of this?

In the time of Sakyamuni Buddha, those who saw the Buddha yet who did not attain arhatship were (as many) as the sand of the Ganges.⁷⁴ And when Maitreya comes into the world it will be the same: there will be many who will not attain arhatship. Such is not the case with O-mi-t'o's Pure Land. After being born in the Pure Land, all obtain the insight of non-arising, and there has never been one who has fallen therefrom into the three worlds and who again became bound by the karma of birth-and-death.

There were three bodhisattvas in the Hsi-kuo cb'uan \mathfrak{B} II (475; Asanga, Vasubandhu, and Simhabhadra. These three all had the same determination in being born in Tusita Heaven and in desiring to see Maitreya. They vowed that if one were to die first and obtain a look (at Maitreya), he would return and inform the others. Simhabhadra died, but once he had gone he did not return.

⁷⁴ Ch'eng-yü (p 362 verso a) here quotes from the eleventh chapter (*chuan?*) of the *Ta-Chib-tu-lun* a passage to the effect that there were 900,000,000 *t*.t. households in Vaišālī, of whom 300,000,000 saw the Buddha, 300,000,000 heard of him but did not see him, and 300,000,000 neither saw him nor heard of him. The passage in question is not, however, in the *Ta-Chib-tu-lun*.

⁷⁵ Namely Hsüan-tsang's (whose dates are 603-668) Hsi-yu-chi, T. 51, p 896ca-16. Since the Shib-i-lun was supposed to have been written by Chih-i (538-597), this passage poses problems for this traditional attribution. The first to discover this contradiction was Shoshin, a medieval Tendai monk, who pointed out this discrepancy in his work, the Hokke-gengi shiki (composed in 1207; see Dai-nippon Bukkyo zembo, vol 21, p 207b). For a rebuttal of Shoshin, see Ryochu's (died 1287) Öjö-yösbü giki (Jödo-shü zembo, vol 15) and Söboku's Shoryō-roku (Shin-shū zembo, vol 62). Söboku maintains that this passage is a later interpolation (p 60a).

THE EASTERN BUDDHIST

Later, when Vasubandhu was nearing his death, Asanga said to him, "If you see Maitreya, come and tell me." Vasubandhu died, but returned only after a period of three years. Asanga asked him, "why did it take you such a long time to return?" Vasubandhu said that he had arrived there (in Tusita Heaven), had heard the bodhisattva Maitreya preach but one sermon, had circumambulated him (in reverence) and had come back immediately; but days are long there (in Tusita),⁷⁶ and here (on earth) three years had already elapsed; Asanga again asked, "Where is Simhabhadra now?" Vasubandhu replied that because Simhabhadra had received such heavenly pleasures, he was enjoying the five desires, and so resides in the outer ring of attendants, and so from that time to the present he has never seen Maitreya!

Even minor bodhisattvas who are born there (in Tusita Heaven) all the more cling to the five desires. How much more so common men.

Because of this, if one desires birth in the Western Land, one will definitely obtain the stage of non-regression and will not seek for birth in Tusita Heaven.

The Eighth Doubt.

Question: All creatures, from beginningless time to the present, have created innumerable (evil) karma. In this life, if they do not meet a spiritual guide # , and thereby commit all kinds of evils, there will be no evil that they will not commit.

How can they, at the approach of their death, accomplish the ten meditations⁷⁷ + \pm and so obtain rebirth, getting out of the binding karma of the three worlds? How do you reconcile the contradiction between this fact and the fact of karma?

Answer: The types of evil and good karma created by all creatures and how

⁷⁶ See Ch'eng-yü, p. 362 verso b10-13.

⁷⁷ On the ten meditations + 28, see the Kuan Wu-liang show ching, T. 12, p 346a12-26, and the An-lo-chi, T. 47, p 10a12, bj ff. This passage's debt to the An-lo-chi is pointed out by Hotan (p 446a). See also the didactic passage by Ch'eng-yü (p 363 recto a). For a variant—indeed, a more polished—reading of this, the Eighth Doubt, see the Ching-s'u chib-kuei-chi, Zoku-zökyö 2.13.1 (vol 108), p 85 verso a-b.

many or few, how strong or weak—all such things may not be known. But,⁷⁸ if with the aid of a spiritual guide one is able to accomplish the ten meditations at the approach of one's death, this is because one's past good karma was so strong; that one was enabled to meet a spiritual guide and to accomplish the ten meditations.

If one's evil karmas are many, a spiritual guide cannot be met with, not to mention accomplishing the ten meditations.⁷⁹

Now if you think that beginningless bad karma is heavy and the ten meditations at the approach of death is light,⁸⁰ then,⁸¹ there are three comparisons of this 'weight' of karma, since their heaviness or lightness is undefinite; it does not depend upon (a duration of) long or short.

First,⁸² that (karma) within the mind 在心; second, that within external conditions 在体; and third, that within the certainty (of salvation) 在決定.

Concerning that (karma) within the mind; when one commits a transgression, such grows out of one's own empty, illusory delusions. The meditation on the Buddha grows out of hearing a spiritual guide preach the true meritfilled name of the Buddha O-mi-t'o.

One is false, and the other is true. How can they be compared one with another! It is like a room dark for ten thousand years; the sunlight comes in for an instant, and the darkness suddenly disappears. However longstanding the darkness may be, how can it fail to disappear!

Concerning that (karma) within external conditions: when one commits a transgression, such grows out of one's own mind, which is vain and false, conditioned by the delusions of the sphere of empty illusions. A mind that meditates on the Buddha arises from the mind seeking for highest Bodhi conditioned by hearing the Buddha's pure, true, merit-filled name.

⁷⁸ See Genshin, *Ojo-yoshi*, T. 84, p 81013 ff where this is quoted with a slight variation; see also Chih-li's *Miao-trang chao*, T. 37, p 228023 where this paragraph is quoted.

⁷⁹ See the An-lo-chi, p 10b5.

⁸⁰ See the An-lo-chi, p 10c21 ff, and the Wang-sheng-lun chu, T. 40, p 834b21 ff.

⁸¹ See Genshin's *Ojo-yosbu*, T. 84, p. 83b22-c15.

⁸² The following explanation, the *san-tsai* = \pounds explanation owes much to the *Wang-sheng-lun chu*, T. 40, p 834 b-c, but it is believed that this passage in the *Shib-i-lun* is quoted from the *An-lo-chi*, p 10c21 ff. where the original source, i.e., T'an-luan, is also omitted.

One is true, and the other is false. How can they be compared one with another! This is likened to being hit by a poisoned arrow; the arrow goes deep and the poison penetrates; the skin is damaged and the bones are broken. But upon hearing the drum (beat signifying) an antidote, the arrow is taken out and the poison removed. How may the poison that came in with this deep arrow not be expelled?

Concerning that (karma) within the certainty (of salvation): when one commits a transgression, there are intervening mental states TMA: and there is the final mental state TMA: When one meditates on the Buddha there are no intervening mental states, nor any final mental state, and so when one is finally about to die, his mind of goodness is firm and clear, and by this he is immediately reborn (in O-mi-t'o's Pure Land).

This is likened to ten strands of rope,⁸³ which even a thousand men cannot manage, but which even a child brandishing a sword can cut into two in a moment. Or it is like sticks accumulated for one thousand years,⁸⁴ which when set on fire with but one small flame, are completely consumed within a short time. Or it may be likened to a man who has practiced the ten virtuous precepts throughout his lifetime, and who should thus be born in Heaven. As he approaches death, if there should arise one thought of a decidedly perverse view, then he would fall into *avici* hell.

Bad karma is empty and illusory, but by means of its strength, it is able to upset a lifetime of good karma and cause one to fall into an evil rebirth.

Therefore, how can a strong-willed meditation upon the Buddha at the approach of death—true unceasing good karma—be unable to upset evil karma (accumulated since) beginningless time, and so achieve rebirth in the Pure Land!

It is also said,⁸⁵ "One thought of meditation on the Buddha destroys the transgressions (committed during) birth and death in eighty million kalpas."

It is because of the strength of mind at the time of meditating on the Buddha that it can conquer evil karma and determine one's rebirth (in the Pure Land). This should not be doubted.

⁸³ See the An-lo-chi, p 10c26; Hotan, p 446b.

⁸⁴ See the An-lo-cbi, p 10b23; Hotan, p 4472.

⁸⁵ See the An-lo-cbi, p 4b18.

In the past, the accomplishment of the ten meditations was evaluated, and the idea of spiritual rewards at a later date sum was formulated. But this cannot be. How do we know this?

The She Ta-ch'eng lun says, "... it is only from producing the vow; there is absolutely no other religious practice...."⁸⁶

The *Tra-cbi lun* says, "... if one desires a birth in Paradise, one has none other than attained it. If one hears the undefiled name of the Buddha, one has obtained Bodhi."⁸⁷

These are both primary causes \boxtimes for a later period, and there is absolutely no other religious practice (necessary).

If one holds that the strong, unceasing good actions of the ten meditations at the approach of death signifies these spiritual rewards given at a later period, how mistaken he is!

The devotee is to ponder deeply this principle and is to make his mind secure; do not believe differing views, nor allow yourself to fall (from faith)!88

The Ninth Doubt.

Question: The Paradise of the Western Land is ten billion Buddha-lands from here. Common persons are weak and frail; how are they able to go there?

Also it says in the *Wang-sbeng lun*⁸⁹ "Women, those with defective sense organs, and persons of the two *yanas* are not born therein." Such has already

⁸⁶ See the Mabayana-samgraba, T. 31, p 121b14, and its commentary, T. 31, p 194b8.

⁸⁷ The *Tsa-chi-lun* was translated by Hsüan-tsang in 646; the passage in question is T. 31, p 752 a-b. This is another major passage that throws the authorship of this work into doubt. See Shōshin's *Hokke-gengi shiki* (p 207b). Sōboku totally ignores the Eighth Doubt!

⁸⁸ For an elaboration of the philosophy of this section, see Ch'eng-yü's commentary, p 363 *versa* b.

⁸⁹ See the Wang-sbeng-lun, T. 40, p 830c4 ff. Any reference to women and persons with defective sense organs not being born in the Pure Land is lacking in the An-lo-chi; see however the Ching-r'u-lun (T. 47, p 91b) where this problem is discussed, but not satisfactorily solved. Shan-tao also seems not to have been too successful in solving this problem (see his Kuan ching shu, T. 37, p 351210 ff). For the Pure Land teaching being for common persons, "and not for the saints" $\pi # 2 \lambda_1$ see Shan-tao, p 249b10.

been taught. Thus we know that women and those with defective sense organs can definitely not be born into the Pure Land.

Answer: It is said to be ten billion Buddha-lands away with reference to common persons' physical eyes and their mental concepts of birth and death.

This is only to make all creatures complete the karma whereby they are born in the Pure Land.

The meditation that impels a mind to be firm at the approach of death—a mind that receives birth in the Pure Land—is itself the occasion of birth in the Pure Land.⁹⁰

Because of this the Kuan Wu-liang shou ching says, "The land of the Buddha O-mi-t'o is not far from here."91

The power of karma cannot be conceived. One meditation can obtain birth therein. One need not worry over the distance. This is like unto a person dreaming; his body is on a bed, yet his mental consciousness goes to all worlds in any direction, as if he were doing so in his daily life. Birth in the Pure Land is like this: if one's meditation is thus impelled, one is thereby born (in the Pure Land). This need not be doubted.

As for "women, those with defective sense organs, and persons of the two yand not being born therein"—this merely argues that among those who have been born in that land there are not any women nor any who are deaf and dumb; it does not mean that in this world women and those with defective sense organs will not obtain rebirth in the Pure Land. Anyone who speaks in this way is totally ignorant, and understands nothing of the meaning of the scripture. When the Lady Vaidehi asked to be born in the Pure Land, she and five hundred of her serving maids were all predicted to be born therein.⁹²

However, women, as well as the blind, the deaf and the dumb of this world, if they but meditate on the Buddha O-mi-t'o, will all be born in that (i.e., the Pure) Land, and from that time on they shall never again receive the body of a woman, nor shall they receive the body of one with defective sense organs.

If persons of the two yanas (i.e., sravaka-yana and pratyeka-buddha-yana) but

⁹⁰ See Shan-tao, p 259a8.

⁹¹ A paraphrase of T.12, p 341c5.

⁹² See T. 12, p 346a-b.

turn their hearts and vow to be born in the Pure Land, when once they arrive there, they shall not have again the grasping mind of the two yanas. Hence it is said, "Women and those with defective sense organs, and persons of the two yanas are not born therein." This is not saying that women and those with defective sense organs in this world shall not be born (in the Pure Land).

(The thirty-fifth of) the forty-eight vows of the *Wu-liang shou ching* says, "If, upon my attaining Buddhahood, all women in the world of the ten directions who call upon my name and who despise their female bodies yet after their lives shall again receive a female body, I shall not obtain Supreme Enlightenment."⁹³

How then could one being born in the Pure Land again receive a female body!

It is also thus for those with defective sense organs.

The Tenth Doubt.

Question: In definitely seeking birth in the Western Paradise, I do not yet know what practice to do, nor what constitutes the "seeds" #7 for obtaining birth in that land.

Also, common persons all have wives and children, and have not cut off their lustful desires; do they obtain birth there or not?

Answer: If one wants definitely to be born in the Western Paradise, there are two types of practice which definitely obtain for the devotee birth therein. First is the practice of disgust 歌雜行, and second is the practice of the vow 欣願行.

The practice of disgust means that the ordinary person, from beginningless time to the present, has been bound by the five desires, and transmigrates in the five realms of rebirth.⁹⁴ He has received all the various sufferings, and yet if he does not generate a mind that is disgusted with the five desires, he shall not have any possibility of release. For this reason, he should always view this body's pus, blood, dung and urine and all foul effusions as impure and vile-smelling.

⁹⁴ There are normally six realms of painful rebirth, but the author of the Sbib-i-lun, according to Ch'eng-yü (p 364 perso b) has combined asuras into the preta class.

⁹³ See T. 12, p 268c21-24.

The Nieb-p'an ching says, "The castle which is this body has living within it an ignorant rākasa. Who with wisdom would take pleasure in this body!"95

And the scripture also says, "This body is the accumulation of all pains and all impurities. Embracing ulcers, et cetera, it is basically without value." And even the bodies of the *devas* are all the same.

The devotee, whether walking or sitting, asleep or awake, should always regard this body only as suffering, without pleasure, and should produce a deep (-seated sense of) disgust.

For example, if he is unable to sever relations with his wife immediately, he should gradually develop a feeling of disgust (for her), and generate seven types of meditation on impurity :

first, see that this body, full of lustful desires, is generated out of lustful craving and the defilements: this is the impurity of its seeds;⁹⁶

third, being in the womb is to be beneath the organ of birth and to be above the organ of maturation: this is the impurity of residence;

fourth, when one is in the womb, one eats only the mother's blood: this is the impurity of eating;

fifth, when the days and the months are fulfilled, the head emerges from the womb, and pus and blood gush forth together with it, and there are foul smells in profusion: this is the impurity of birth $m \pm i$;

sixth, a thin skin covers (the body of the child), and within it pus and blood cover everything: this is the impurity of the whole body;

seventh, after the body dies, it swells up and rots to pieces; its bones and flesh are in disarray, and it is devoured by wolves: this is the ultimate impurity.

One's own body is thus, and it is also the case with the bodies of others.

A deep-seated disgust is produced in regards to male and female forms, the spheres of our clingings, et cetera, and impurity is now always the object of our meditations.

⁹⁵ Is this a paraphrase of T. 12, p 434a or p 3732-b?

⁹⁶ According to Ch'eng-yü, these are the seeds of later defiled karma (p 364 verso b).

CHING-T'U SHIH-I-LUN

If one is able to meditate thus, the defilements of lustful desires gradually diminish. This is also the case with the meditations on the ten meditations $+20^{97}$ et cetera, as widely taught in the scriptures.

So too in producing a vow—"I vow that I may always be freed from male or female form in the three worlds, form which is befuddled by the five desires, foul-smelling with pus, blood and impurities, and eating various foods; I vow to obtain a body generated of the nature of the Pure Land."

This constitutes the practice of disgust.

The practice of the vow also has two aspects.

First, clearly understanding what it means to search for rebirth (in the Pure Land), and second, meditating on items such as the adornments of the Pure Land.

The mind desiring (rebirth) desires to understand the significance of rebirth. The reason one seeks birth in the Pure Land is because one wants to rescue all creatures from pain. Thus one thinks, "At the present time I have no strength. If I stay on in the defiled world the sphere of the defilements will be strong and I myself bound by karma and sunk in the three evil realms **set**. If I act, I shall traverse many more kalpas. In this manner I have transmigrated from beginningless time up to the present, without ever having rested. When could I ever save suffering creatures! For this reason, I desire birth in the Pure Land and I desire to draw close to all the Buddhas. If I attain the insight of nonbirth, then I should be able to save suffering creatures in this evil world."⁹⁸

Hence it says in the *Wang-sheng lun*, "To generate the mind of Bodhi means to truly desire to attain Buddhahood; to desire to attain Buddhahood is none other than having the heart to save all creatures. The heart to save all creatures is none other than the heart to embrace all creatures and have them be born in the Buddha-land."⁹⁹

Also, there are two practices¹⁰⁰ to be accomplished in vowing to be born in the Pure Land.

⁹⁷ For these ten meditations, see the Ta-Chib-tu-lan, T. 25, p 22925.

⁹⁸ See Genshin's Öjö-yösbü, T. 84, p 52b10.

⁹⁹ This passage is not in the Wang-sbeng-lun, but in the Wang-sbeng-lun cbu (T. 40, p 842a17 ff); the Sbib-i-lun follows the An-lo-cbi (T. 47, p 7c7) in this attribution.

¹⁰⁰ See the An-lo-chi, p 821-216; this passage, to note 9 below, is a direct quotation from the An-lo-chi.

First, one should leave far behind the three things that hinder the way of Bodhi, and second, one should obtain the three things that advance the way of Bodhi.

How is one to leave far behind the three things that hinder Bodhi?

First, by relying upon the path of wisdom, one does not seek for one's own pleasure, and thereby one's mind leaves far behind greedy attachment to one's own person.

Second, by relying upon the way of compassion, one saves all creatures from pain and thereby one leaves far behind the heart that would be disinclined to ease (the sufferings) of all creatures.

Third, by relying upon the way of *upaya*, one sympathizes with all creatures and desires to give them pleasure, and thereby one leaves far behind the mind that reveres and honors one's self.

If one can leave far behind these three things that hinder Bodhi, then one obtains the three things that advance Bodhi.

First is the unstained, pure mind. Because it is not for one's self that one seeks all pleasures,¹⁰¹ Bodhi is unstained, and pure. If one seeks pleasure for one's self then one's mind is stained and the way of Bodhi is hindered. The unstained, pure mind is a way that advances Bodhi.

Second is a pure mind at ease. Because one saves creatures from suffering, Bodhi is the purity that puts all creatures at ease. If one does not produce the mind to rescue all creatures and cause them to be separated from the pains of birth and death, then this opposes the way of Bodhi. Hence a pure mind at ease is a way that advances Bodhi.

Third is a joyfully pure mind. Such a mind desires that all creatures attain great Bodhi and Nirvana.¹⁰² Bodhi and Nirvana are the ultimately, eternally blissful realm. If one does not produce a mind which would cause all creatures to attain ultimate and eternal bliss, then it hinders the way of Bodhi.

What is the primary cause by which we may attain this Bodhi?

This necessarily depends upon birth in the Pure Land, and never being separated from the Buddha; after attaining the insight of the unborn, we may

¹⁰¹ Ch'eng-yü (p 365 recto b) would here appear to want to attribute much of this passage's commentarial material to Chih-i!

¹⁰² See above note 7; the Shib-i-lan has here 大菩提涅槃林, which the An-lo-chi abbreviates to 大菩提林.

CHING-T'U SHIH-I-LUN

then save suffering humanity in the realms of birth and death.

Compassion and wisdom are internally harmonized (with this Bodhi); it is a certainty and is always active 常用, freely and without hindrance.

This is the same as the mind of Bodhi; this is the meaning of vowing to be born (in the Pure Land).

Second, in explaining the mind that vows to seek (the Pure Land): the mind which so desires raises up a thought, a thought having as its object the Buddha O-mi-t'o's Dharmakaya or his Sambhogakāya, et cetera, his gold-colored rays of light, his eighty-four thousand major characteristics, each major characteristic having eighty-four thousand minor signs, and each minor sign emitting eighty-four thousand lights which always illumine the universe and which embrace those multitudes that meditate upon the Buddha.¹⁰³

Also one meditates upon the seven-jewelled marvellous decorations (of the Pure Land) et cetera, such as the sixteen meditations given in detail (in the *Wu-liang show ching*¹⁰⁴ and) in the *Kuan Wu-liang show ching*.¹⁰⁵

To transfer all one's good acts—such as the constant practice of the *samadbi* 'meditating on the Buddha', ¹⁰⁶ generosity, morality, et cetera—to all creatures, thus causing all creatures to be born in that land, definitely obtains (for one) birth (in the Pure Land).

This is the way of the vow.

¹⁰³ See T. 12, p 343218 ff.

¹⁰⁴ See T. 12, p 27006 to p 271h24; see also p 268c10-14 for the vow to so decorate this Pure Land.

¹⁰⁵ See T. 12, p 341b23-26, p 342a10 ff.

¹⁰⁶ See Ch'eng-yii on verbal and non-verbal men-fo, p 365 verso a-b.